Square Partitioning for the Computation of Parallel Matrix Multiplication

O.Beaumont, L.Eyraud-Dubois, <u>T.Lambert</u>



18 mai 2016

.

Approximation Algorithm Discrete Partitioning Cuboid Partitioning Perspectives

Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication Formal Problem Statement

Table of Contents



Introduction

- Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication
- Formal Problem Statement

0 • •

- 2 Approximation Algorithm
- 3 Discrete Partitioning
- 4 Cuboid Partitioning

5 Perspectives

0

.

Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication Formal Problem Statement

Outer Product and Matrix Multiplication

The Outer Product :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1b_1 & a_1b_2 & a_1b_3 \\ a_2b_1 & a_2b_2 & a_2b_3 \\ a_3b_1 & a_3b_2 & a_3b_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Matrix Multiplication (Cannon's Algorithm) : If $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \dots & A_N \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ \dots \\ B_N \end{pmatrix}$ with $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,i} \\ \dots \\ a_{N,i} \end{pmatrix}$ and $B_i = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,i} & \dots & b_{N,i} \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$A imes B = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \otimes B_i$$

Approximation Algorithm Discrete Partitioning Cuboid Partitioning Perspectives

Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication Formal Problem Statement

4



Approximation Algorithm Discrete Partitioning Cuboid Partitioning Perspectives

Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication Formal Problem Statement

4



Approximation Algorithm Discrete Partitioning Cuboid Partitioning Perspectives

Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication Formal Problem Statement

4



Approximation Algorithm Discrete Partitioning Cuboid Partitioning Perspectives

Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication Formal Problem Statement

4



Approximation Algorithm Discrete Partitioning Cuboid Partitioning Perspectives

Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication Formal Problem Statement

4



Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication Formal Problem Statement

5

Allocation of a Parallel Matrix Multiplication

Inputs :

• Two matrices
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \dots & A_N \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ \dots \\ B_N \end{pmatrix}$

• A set of p processors P_k , each with a speed s_k .

Output :

- For each $A_I \otimes B_I$ and each processor P_k , the set $W_{I,k}$ of the tasks $a_{i,l}b_{l,j}$ associated to it.
- For each processor P_k the contents of its local memory, $m_{k,l,row}$ and $m_{k,l,columns}$.

Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication Formal Problem Statement

Allocation of a Parallel Matrix Multiplication

- We want an optimal makespan (computation time). Therefore $|W_{l,k}|$ is fixed and correlated to the relative speed of P_k .
- We want to minimize communication. Therefore $|m_{k,l,row}|$ and $|m_{k,l,columns}|$ must be as low as possible.

Problem

Given a platform of processors with known speeds, return an makespan-optimal scheduling that minimize the amount of communication.

Outer-Product and Matrix Multiplication Formal Problem Statement

Formal Problem Statement

Problem

Given a square $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ and a set of areas, return a partition of the square into areas of the sizes given which minimizes the sum of the half-perimeter of the covering rectangles.



Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof Practical Results

Table of Contents



2 Approximation Algorithm

- Related Work
- A New Algorithm (NRRP)
- Sketch of Proof
- Practical Results

3 Discrete Partitioning

4 Cuboid Partitioning



Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof Practical Results



 To optimize the data reuse it is better to give area shaped as squares.



• In fact it is the lower bound (half-perimeter $\geq 2 * \sqrt{\text{surface}}$).

Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof Practical Results

An Already Studied Problem

- More constrained problem : Split a square in several rectangle of fixed area and minimize the semi-perimeters.
- Already studied, NP-complete but there exists a 5/4-approximation (Nagamochi et al.) that becomes a $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ -approximation ($\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \simeq 1.15$) for slightly heterogeneous platforms (Fügenschuh et al.).

Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof Practical Results

Nagamochi's Algorithm

A divide and conquer algorithm :

- Sort the processors by increasing speed.
- Recursively split the current rectangle in two and apply the algorithm on each subrectangle.



Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof Practical Results

A New Approach for Pathological Cases (Lastovetsky et al.)

- The problem is rectangle with an aspect ratio greater than 3.
- When a rectangle is too elongated we transform it into a square.



Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof Practical Results

A New Algorithm

A variant of the divide and conquer algorithm :

- Sort the processors by increasing speed.
- Recursively split the current rectangle in two :
 - If it is possible in two rectangle with aspect ratio under 3.
 - Else into a squared zone and its complement.



Result : A $\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$ -approximation ($\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \simeq 1.22$).

Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof Practical Results

Non-Rectangular Recursive Partitioning (NRRP)

 NRRP is a refinement of the previous algorithm where some new subcases are added :



Result : A $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ -approximation ($\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \simeq 1.15$).

Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof Practical Results

Sketch of Proof

We distinguish two kinds of zones created during a step :

- Simple zones : terminal zones allocated to a single processor.
- Composed zones : union of future simple zones on which we recursively apply the algorithm.



Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof Practical Results

Local Invariants

Lemma

At each call of NRRP, the produced composed zones are rectangles with an aspect ratio less than 2.5.

Lemma

At each call of NRRP, if $\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_k\}$ is the set of the produced simple zones, A_{Z_i} their areas and w_{Z_i} and h_{Z_i} the width and the height of their covering rectangles then :

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{Z_i} + h_{Z_i}}{2\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{A_{Z_i}}} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

We recall that $\frac{a+b}{c+d} \leq max(\frac{a}{c}, \frac{b}{d})$.

Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof **Practical Results**

Experimental Validation

We propose an experimental validation :

- Platforms are composed of *n* CPUs, *m* Xeon Phi accelerators and *p* GPUs (*n* ∈ {1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 32, 64}, *m*, *p* ∈ [0, 8]). Accelerators are between 15 and 25 times faster than CPU and GPUs are between 25 and 35 times faster than CPUs.
- We compare NRRP with Nagamochi's one and the Column Based approach.
- Column Based heuristic computes (with dynamic programming) the best possible partitioning under the assumption that the original square is split into several columns.

Related Work A New Algorithm (NRRP) Sketch of Proof **Practical Results**

Practical Results

- Column Based is good for very large platforms (below 1.05 times the bound) but this ratio greatly increase for small instances (can be more than 1.5 times the bound). In contrast, NRRP and Nagamochi are far better on small instances, with a little advantage for NRRP on really small ones.
- NRRP has a far smaller worst case, around 1.1 times the bound, the worst ratio is 1.3 for Nagamochi and more than 1.5 for Colum Based.
- A heuristic which chooses the best of the three has a worst case of 1.08 times the bound, below 1.02 on average.

Hilbert's Curve Algorithm Conclusion

Table of Contents

Introduction

- 2 Approximation Algorithm
- 3 Discrete Partitioning
 - Hilbert's Curve
 - Algorithm
 - Conclusion
- 4 Cuboid Partitioning

5 Perspectives

Hilbert's Curve Algorithm Conclusion

Discrete Partitioning

- In practice we are interested in splitting matrices, that are discrete squares.
- Therefore we can't cut it anywhere.
- Direct rounding can be quite bad for load balancing.





Hilbert's Curve Algorithm Conclusion

Recursive decomposition

Definition (q-square)

We denote as *q*-squares all the subsquares of the square $[1, N] \times [1, N]$ which are of the form $[1 + n_1 \times 2^q, (n_1 + 1) \times 2^q] \times [n_2 \times 2^q, (n_2 + 1) \times 2^q]$ where $n_1, n_2 \in [0, N/2^q - 1]^2$.



Hilbert's Curve Algorithm Conclusion

Hilbert Curve







Property

If S_q is a q-square, then there exists an integer n such that $H([n, n + 4^q - 1]) = S_q$.

Property

For all
$$n \in [1, N^2 - 1]$$
, if we denote $(i_1, j_1) = H(n)$ and $(i_2, j_2) = H(n+1)$, then $i_1 = i_2$ or $j_1 = j_2$.

в

Hilbert's Curve Algorithm Conclusion

Algorithm

Algorithm 1: Fractal Partition

$$egin{aligned} &s_{tot} = 0 \ & ext{foreach} \ k \in [1,p] \ & ext{do} \ & igsquare$$
 $iggleq A_k = \mathcal{H}([s_{tot},s_{tot}+s_k]) \ & igsslash s_{tot} = s_{tot}+s_k+1 \end{aligned}$



$$s_1 = 17$$

 $s_2 = 4$
 $s_3 = 19$
 $s_1 = 24$

Claim

This is a
$$\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{11}}$$
-approximation ($\simeq 1.56$).

Hilbert's Curve Algorithm Conclusion

Conclusion

- First approximation ratio for the discrete problem.
- In practice a more trivial solution works better.



- However space-filling curves are a very classical way to partition data set.
- It also seems easier to generalize for higher dimension and for a variant with sparse matrices.

Motivation Approximation Algorithm

Table of Contents

Introduction

- 2 Approximation Algorithm
- 3 Discrete Partitioning
- 4 Cuboid Partitioning
 - Motivation
 - Approximation Algorithm

5 Perspectives

Motivation Approximation Algorithm

Motivation

• We recall that the matrix multiplication of scheduling is just the scheduling of the equivalent basic tasks :

$$t_{i,j,k}: c_{i,j} \leftarrow c_{i,j} + a_{i,k}b_{k,j}$$

- Cannon's algorithm schedules the tasks by set with fixed k.
- In this section we propose to schedule the whole set of tasks.



- A new problem : division of a cube (or a cuboid for non-square matrix) into volumes minimizing the half-surface of the covering cuboid.
- Problem without pre-existing work as far as we know.

Motivation Approximation Algorithm

Approximation Algorithm

- We prove the NP-completeness of the problem.
- We propose a variant of NRRP in the 3D case (3D-NRRP) with only two cases.



• The algorithm can be shown to be a $\frac{5}{6^{2/3}}\text{-approximation}$ $(\frac{5}{6^{2/3}}\simeq 1.51).$

Table of Contents

Introduction

- 2 Approximation Algorithm
- 3 Discrete Partitioning
- 4 Cuboid Partitioning





- Add new analysis to 3D-problem (in particular its discrete variant), if possible find an easier NP-completeness proof.
- Begin the study of *n*D-problem (tensor product).
- Begin the study of a similar problem but with sparse matrices (Fast Multipole Method).

e