



Job Scheduling to Manage Power in Large Parallel Systems

Uwe Schwiegelshohn TU Dortmund University



Benefit of an Energy Aware Algorithm Implementation

- You have developed and implemented great algorithms that run on large systems and promise to reduce the total amount of required energy by your application while achieving a reasonable response time.
- What is the benefit you can expect from your algorithm?
 - Peace of ecological conscience?
 - Reduced cost for running your application?
 - Any other goal?



Content

- Scheduling Objectives
- Considering Energy
- Amazon Web Services and Spot Instances
- Mid Range Planning
- Local Scheduling
- Conclusion



Common Scheduling Objectives

- C_{max}
 - Minimization of operating costs
 - Operating costs are fixed. Earlier completion leads to a decrease in the ratio cost per operation time.
- L_{max} , $\sum T_j$, $\sum U_j$
 - Observation of quality of service promises, minimization of penalties
- $\sum w_j C_j, \sum w_j T_j, \sum w_j U_j$
 - Consideration of multiple user objectives
 - Linear combination with individual weights
- We can use common objective functions to derive new objectives:
 - Example: Maximization of utilization $\sum p_i \cdot (1-U_i)$ with access control
- But energy does not really fit!



Consider Energy from an Application Point of View

- Dominant component of operating costs in large systems
 - Mainly usage dependent with complex relations
 - Storage
 - Computing
 - Communication
 - Cooling
- Application point of view (in the future)
 - Bicriteria optimization for a single problem: speed C_{max} and energy
 - Selection of the suitable Pareto optimum
 - Shifting the Pareto front by means of energy efficient algorithms



Consider Energy from a Producer Point of View

- Technical restrictions
 - No sudden increase of power delivery
 - No efficient storage of large amounts of energy
- Power demand estimation is necessary.
 - Many consumers of small amounts of power
 - Reliable stochastic prediction
 - Few consumers of large amounts of power
 - Purchase guarantee for a quantity of power in exchange for a better price and delivery guarantee



Owner of Large Systems

- Consumer of large amounts of power
- Focus in previous times
 - Keep as many nodes busy as possible to optimize the investment.
- Focus now
 - Reliably predict power consumption while supporting on demand computing
- Uncertainty
 - Computing requests in the future
 - Power consumption of each application
 - Large volatility of power consumption makes the problem worse!



Consequences of a Wrong Estimation

- Underestimation
 - Rejecting new users and/or terminating user applications
 - Users are not happy!
 - Buying additional power on the spot market
 - The price for a significant amount of power may be very high!
- Overestimation
 - Selling excess power on the spot market
 - There may not be enough customers for a large amount of power on a short notice!
 - Starting additional applications



Spot Instances: The Amazon Webservice Approach

- Underestimation
 - Spot instances can be terminated immediately
 - Users have agreed to this condition and receive the last fractional hour for free.
 - No need to buy additional power on the spot market
- Overestimation
 - New resources are made available for spot instances.
 - No need to sell power on the spot market if there is enough demand for spot instances
- Spot instances can keep spare machines busy while they are not needed.



Are Spot Instances the Perfect Solution?

- Other large cloud providers did not adopt the approach.
- Are there enough applications that do not mind sudden termination?
 - Applications that are able to do its own checkpointing
 - Applications that consists of many independent applications with short processing times (significantly less than an hour)
- Are spot instances significantly cheaper than on-demand instances?
 - Some people have developed schemes to provoke early termination and exploit repeated free computation time.
 - The bidding process occasionally produces prices that exceed ondemand prices.



Preemption Instead of Termination

- Large running batch jobs without deadlines
 - > There is still a performance guarantee for these jobs.
- Underestimation
 - > Running batch jobs are preempted.
- Overestimation
 - > Preempted batch jobs are resumed or new batch jobs are started.
- Batch jobs may be internal or external.
 - Google uses jobs that re-index data bases.
- Compared to the processing time of a batch job the context switch penalty must be small enough to be ignored.
- There can only be a small number of context switches.



Hierarchical Algorithmic Approach

- The problem includes a large number of uncertainties that cannot be reliably predicted in advance.
- We propose a hierarchical approach consisting of a global schedule covering the power estimation range and a local schedule addressing the actual power consumption of near future.
 - Global schedule: we assume average power consumption for all applications using a specific type of machine and estimate the arrival of new requests based on historical data.
 - Local schedule: we consider additional information regarding the power consumption of individual applications and apply machine consolidation.



Algorithmic Model for Mid Range Planning

- We ignore all machines occupied by on-demand jobs.
- Each job j is allocated to one machine type i.
- We introduce time instances t_k with $t_0=0$ and $t_k > t_{k-1}$ whenever
 - the power estimation changes,
 - the (expected) number of a machine type for on-demand jobs changes,
 - a new batch job is (expected to be) submitted,
 - a deadline of a batch job is reached.
- We consider interval $[t_{k-1}, t_k)$ with $\Delta_k = t_k t_{k-1}$.
 - There is a constant number of machines $m_{k,i}$ for each type i and a constant target amount of power P_k within interval k.
 - Adeviation $\mu_{k,i}$ of machines of type i results in a deviation $\delta_{k,i} \cdot \Delta_k = h_{k,i} \cdot \mu_{k,i}$ in energy.



Linear Program

 $min\{max_k\{\sum_i \delta_{k,i}\}\}$

such that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k} p_{j,k} = p_{j} \\ &p_{j,k} \leq \Delta_{k} \\ &(P_{k} - \sum_{i} \delta_{k,i}) \cdot \Delta_{k} \leq \sum_{j} h_{k,i} \cdot p_{j,k} \\ &\sum_{j} h_{k,i} \cdot p_{j,k} \leq (P_{k} + \sum_{i} \delta_{k,i}) \cdot \Delta_{k} \\ &p_{j,k} \geq 0 \\ &\delta_{k,i} \geq 0 \\ &p_{j,k} = 0 \end{split}$$

for all jobs j and intervals k for all intervals k for all intervals k for all intervals k for all jobs j and intervals k for all intervals k and machine types i if interval k is not part of interval [r_j,d_j) for job j



Algorithmic Solution for Mid Range Planning

- The solution of the linear program minimizes the maximum deviation of power over all intervals.
- There is at most one machine of each type that is only fractionally used in each interval.
- We can generate a preemptive schedule using, for instance, McNaughton's method for each interval.
- We can apply a variety of objective functions
 - We can force a smaller deviation in the first intervals by using weights.
- We can use different factors for negative and positive deviation from the target power in an interval.



Consequence of Mid Range Planning Results

- No deviation of power over all intervals
 - > No change of the strategy is required.
- Repeated or significant deviation of power over all intervals
 - > Determination of the cause and modification of the model
- Overestimation of total energy demand
 - Incentives to users to submit jobs with short deadlines
- Underestimation of total energy demand
 - > Increasing the deadlines for some batch jobs
- Significant imbalance of the energy demand
 - Adjusting release times and deadlines
- Advantage of mid range planning: More time to develop strategies



Local Scheduling

- The local scheduling algorithm considers the current interval (or the first few intervals).
- Even if we maintain the average target power consumption for the interval there may be fluctuation within the interval.
 - Determining a schedule that observes the target power at any moment is NP-hard (Partition problem).
 - If we consider the energy consumption within a very small interval we can achieve our goal by possibly using a very large number of preemptions.
- We may be able to reduce power consumption by considering the allocation of processors to racks.
 - Such consolidation may require a substantial amount of job migration.
 - An upgrade of a machine allocation may result in saving power.



Hardware Support for Local Scheduling

- Local generator to handle brief power peaks
 - Local generators are available in some installations to provide enough time for shutting down the systems in case of power failure.
- Batteries to buffer excess energy and release it later during power peaks
 - We can use similar batteries that are part of UIP (Uninterruptible Power Supply) in many installations.
 - UIP batteries themselves cannot be used for safety reasons.



Additional Goals of Local Scheduling

- Minimize the amount of preemption and migration
 - Preemption and migration lead to additional communication and therefore additional power consumption.
 - Although the preemption and migration penalty is small a large number of preemption and migrations may have a significant performance impact.
- Avoid significant sudden changes in power consumption
 - Sudden power changes put a huge strain on some mechanical cooling systems.
 - Sudden power surges may have negative electrical effects.



Conclusion

- Is there a quantitative benefit for an energy efficient algorithm that does not also reduce processing time?
 - Yes, if you are the system owner and pay for the energy bill directly.
 - No, if you run the application on a system that you do not own.
- Can system owners improve energy efficiency of their systems?
 - Partly by optimizing general properties, like housing and cooling.
 - No with respect to the application without any additional information
- What can be done in the future?
 - Define an allocation based on the power consumption instead of or in addition to the usage time.
 - Develop techniques to measure power consumption of an application.
 - Develop techniques to reliably predict power consumption of an application